CATALYTIC HYDROGENATION OF CYCLOPROPYL ALKENES. III. ON THE MECHANISM OF HOMOGENEOUS HYDROGENATION IN THE PRESENCE OF TRIS(TRIPHENYLPHOSPHINE)CHLORORHODIUM(I). Clayton H. Heathcock (1) and Susan R. Poulter (2) Department of Chemistry, University of California Berkeley, California 94720 (Received in USA 5 April 1969; received in UK for publication 9 June 1969)

Tris(triphenylphosphine)chlororhodium(I) has been shown to be an efficient catalyst for the low pressure hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes (3,4). Wilkinson and coworkers have proposed a mechanism (Scheme I) involving: 1) dissociation of one ligand, 2) oxidative insertion of rhodium into the hydrogen bond, 3) coordination of alkene with the resulting <u>cis</u>-dihydridorhodium(III) species, and 4) simultaneous transfer of the bound hydrogens to the alkene (3).



Scheme I (L = triphenylphosphine)

Hussey and Takeuchi have recently presented evidence that the transfer of bound hydrogen from rhodium to the alkene linkage is not concerted (5). In our studies of cyclopropyl alkene reduction (6), we have found additional evidence for such a non-concerted transfer.

Table I summarizes the products obtained when a series of cyclopropyl alkenes were hydrogenated at 1 atm. in the presence of $(\phi_3 P)_3 RhCl$. We feel that the results require a mechanism involving stepwise addition of the bound hydrogens (Scheme II). If the first hydrogen is added α to the cyclopropane ring, an

2755



Scheme II (L = triphenylphosphine or Cl)

alkylrhodium(III) species results, which collapses to cyclopropylalkane and $(\phi_3 P)_2 RhCl$. If the first hydrogen is added β to the cyclopropane ring, the resulting cyclopropylcarbinylrhodium species can undergo rearrangement to a homo-allylrhodium compound prior to final collapse. The possibility of synchronous 1,4-addition of bound hydrogen is eliminated by the observation that <u>trans-2-</u>pentene is observed as an intermediate in the reduction of vinylcyclopropane (<u>1</u>).

The effect of alkyl groups on the degree of hydrogenolysis (Table I, cf. compounds <u>1</u>, <u>2</u> and <u>3</u>) and the direction of ring cleavage (Table I, compounds <u>4</u> and <u>5</u>) offer further support for the proposal embodied in Scheme II, if one makes the reasonable assumption that a primary alkylrhodium bond is of lower energy than a secondary or tertiary alkylrhodium bond (7,8). In the early stages of the reduction of <u>1</u>, <u>2</u> and <u>5</u>, the only intermediate olefins detected were 2-pentene, 3-hexene and 4-methyl-2-pentene, respectively. This observation strengthens the assertion that attack occurs initially at the double bond rather than on the cyclopropane ring.

The direction of opening of 5 suggests that the proposed cyclopropylcarbinylrhodium to homoallylrhodium rearrangement is either concerted or proceeds <u>via</u> a cyclopropyl carbanion, rather than a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation or radical. We prefer a concerted mechanism since olefins may be deuterated in the presence of $(\phi_3 P)_3 RhCl$ in ethanol without incorporation of protium from solvent (1,8). Although addition of $(\phi_3 P)_2 RhH_2 Cl$ to <u>6</u> and <u>7</u> must occur from both sides of the molecules, ring opening of the <u>exo</u>-cyclopropylcarbinylrhodium does not occur because the conformation necessary for ring opening cannot be achieved.





^aReductions were carried out with 20 mg of catalyst and 20-30 μk of alkene in 1.5 ml of benzene at atmospheric pressure and 22-25°C.

<u>Acknowledgement</u>: We thank the National Science Foundation and the University of California Committee on Research for financial support of this work. A portion of this work was carried out (by S. R. P.) at the University of California in Los Angeles. We thank Prof. T. L. Jacobs of the UCLA Chemistry Department for his generous hospitality.

References

- 1. Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.
- 2. National Science Foundation Summer Fellow, 1965.
- 3. J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young and G. Wilkinson, <u>J. Chem. Soc. A.</u>, 1711 (1966).
- 4. F. H. Jardine, J. A. Osborn and G. Wilkinson, *ibid.*, 1574 (1967).
- 5. A. S. Hussey and Y. Takeuchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 672 (1969).
- For previous paper see, S. R. Poulter and C. H. Heathcock, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Letters, 5343 (1968).
- J. Chatt, R. S. Coffey, A. Gough and D. J. Thompson, <u>J. Chem. Soc. A.</u>, 190 (1968).
- 8. C. Djerassi and J. Gutzwiller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 4537 (1966).